Litigation Budget Planning: Cost Management Strategies

William Flachsbart is a Partner and Chair of the Intellectual Property Litigation Team at Dunlap Bennett & Ludwig. Wil provides counsel regarding a wide array of legal matters to both high-tech companies and individuals, including patent, trade secret, and trademark issues. He assists companies and individuals in preparing to litigate and defend intellectual property claims. Wil also has extensive experience dealing with non-disclosure and non-competition agreements and with assisting companies in assessing complicated intellectual property structures to determine the scope of their assets or exposure, including the evaluation of patents, trade secrets, and publicly available information.

DUNLAP BENNETT & LUDWIG

General counsel regularly confront a familiar challenge: a matter budgeted at $500,000 climbs to $1,000,000 while trial remains months away. Finance leaders seek explanations, business teams scrutinize each invoice, and it becomes difficult to identify where the costs escalated or why they continue to rise.

Litigation cost management is not simply about reducing expenses—it is about aligning legal spend with business outcomes so that cases advance strategically and remain financially controlled. Companies and firms that manage this well use phased budgets, clear billing rules, technology-driven invoice reviews, and regular financial oversight to maintain control while protecting case strategy.

The importance of this discipline extends beyond avoiding unexpected costs. Unmanaged litigation costs drain cash flow, force businesses to settle meritorious cases they cannot afford to fight, and create friction between legal, finance, and business teams. In 2022, tort system costs reached approximately $529 billion in the United States. This amounted to roughly 2.1% of the U.S. GDP, translating to about $4,207 per household. These are not abstract numbers. They affect insurance premiums, business decisions, and access to justice.

Consider a common scenario: A mid-sized manufacturer faces a patent infringement claim that could cost $4 million to litigate fully. Without effective cost management, the legal team staffs the case like every other matter, conducts comprehensive discovery, and watches expenses spiral out of control. With cost management, the team maps key issues early, provides a phased budget to estimate costs and manage expectations from finance leadership, focuses discovery strategically, considers alternative dispute resolution at specific decision points, and continuously evaluates whether continued spending advances business objectives.

Having managed complex litigation budgets across multiple industries, we have observed two distinct approaches. The reactive approach waits for cost problems to surface, then works to explain overruns and cut corners at critical junctures that can undermine case strategy or lead to adverse outcomes for the client. The strategic approach, by contrast, treats cost management as integral to case planning from the outset, creating transparency and control that improve litigation outcomes and direct resources in a cost-effective and outcome-driven fashion. The distinction is not merely financial discipline. Disciplined and targeted spending drives better legal results.

Understanding Litigation Cost Management

What It Is and Why It Matters

Litigation cost management combines budgeting, resource allocation, and spend analytics to keep cases financially on track while protecting legal strategy. Under the American Rule (where each party generally pays its own attorney fees unless a statute or contract provides otherwise), unmanaged costs are borne directly by clients and companies.

The rising cost trend is real:

- Patent litigation, as an example, averages over $4 million in legal and other costs per case
- Corporate legal departments report double-digit year-over-year spending increases
- Larger jury awards have amplified exposure across industries

Core Components That Work

Effective cost management includes four essential elements:

1. **Case mapping** - Identify parties, key issues, and procedural phases
2. **Phased budgeting** - Create line-item budgets tied to case milestones
3. **Analytics and oversight** - Flag cost overruns and evaluate use of resources at each phase
4. **Continuous adjustment** - Revisit assumptions as cases evolve and update stakeholders regularly

Clear Roles and Responsibilities

Cost management works when everyone understands their role:

In-house counsel/business leads:

- Set case objectives and approve budgets
- Define billing guidelines and acceptable spending
- Make strategic decisions, assisted by litigation counsel, balancing cost against outcomes

Lead attorneys:

- Own case strategy, scope, and staffing decisions
- Provide regular budget updates and variance explanations
- Document work product to prevent duplicate efforts

Associates and paralegals:

- Execute defined tasks
- Track time accurately and follow billing guidelines
- Alert supervisors to scope changes affecting budget

Mapping Case Scope and Cost Drivers

Identify Key Parties, Issues, and Phases

We start with a comprehensive case assessment answering:

- Who are all the parties and what are their positions?
- Which claims and defenses carry the most financial exposure?
- What facts and evidence will drive the outcome?
- What procedural phases will this case pass through?

Typical litigation phases:

- Early case assessment and pleadings
- Discovery planning and execution
- Depositions and expert witnesses
- Dispositive motions
- Settlement evaluation and ADR
- Pretrial preparation
- Trial

This roadmap prevents scope creep and enables targeted discovery, with early focus on pivotal issues essential to controlling discovery costs, and an eye to promoting success and potential resolution at each phase.

Plan Around Court Milestones

Translate your case map into a detailed procedural timeline including:

- Court deadlines for motions and discovery
- Mediation or settlement windows and settlement conferences
- Resource peaks (deposition weeks, expert reports, trial prep)
- Decision points for strategy adjustments

Practical cost-saving examples: Teams that schedule depositions in clustered remote sessions minimize additional travel charges, compress attorney time, and maintain focus without drift. Review and production of documents using a tight team and electronic AI-assisted tools reduces cost. An early and repeated focus on resolution looks for exit ramps from protracted litigation.

Estimate Major Cost Buckets Early

Identify significant expense categories upfront:

Discovery costs:

- eDiscovery processing and hosting
- Document review (internal and outsourced)
- Production and privilege review

Expert costs:

- Expert witness fees and reports
- Deposition preparation and testimony
- Trial testimony and exhibits

Motion practice:

- Research and brief writing
- Oral argument preparation
- Reply briefs and supplemental filings

Trial preparation:

- Witness preparation
- Exhibit creation and trial technology
- Mock trials or focus groups, if desired

Building Smart Budgets and Risk Controls

Structure Phased Budgets with Line Items

Our team has extensive experience creating budgets organized by litigation phase with specific line items:

Sample budget categories:

- Pleadings and initial motions
- Written discovery (interrogatories, requests for production)
- Depositions (fact witnesses, experts)
- Expert witnesses (retention, reports, testimony)
- Dispositive motions (summary judgment, other)
- Alternative dispute resolution
- Trial preparation
- Trial

For each category, we can specify:

- Timekeeper roles and hourly rates
- Estimated hours by role
- Estimated out-of-pocket expenses (filing fees, transcripts, travel, or expert costs)

Integrate ADR into Budget Planning

Alternative dispute resolution belongs in your initial budget, not as an afterthought, and as a potential resolution of the matter:

Mediation planning:

- Preparation time and materials
- Mediator fees
- Joint statements and position papers
- Session attendance

Courts in many jurisdictions encourage early settlement, and structured mediation often costs significantly less than extended motion practice. We have extensive experience with assisting the parties to reach a mediated result.

Allocating the Right Team and Resources

Staff Cases Appropriately

Match task complexity to appropriate expertise levels:

Senior attorneys:

- Overall strategy and case theory
- Critical motions and appeals
- High-stakes depositions and negotiations
- Client communication on major decisions

Associates:

- Legal research and analysis
- Draft motions and briefs
- Written discovery management
- Routine depositions

Paralegals:

- Document organization and exhibit preparation
- Filing and service coordination
- Trial logistics and technology support
- Correspondence and scheduling

Assigning tasks to the appropriate personnel prevents rate drift and speeds work completion.

Cost Recovery and Special Considerations

Statutory Cost-Shifting Opportunities

While the American Rule generally prevents fee recovery, important exceptions exist:

Federal statutes:

- Civil rights cases (42 U.S.C. § 1988)
- Securities violations
- Antitrust claims
- Environmental enforcement

State mechanisms:

- California's CCP § 998 offers (cost-shifting for refused settlement offers)
- Contractual fee-shifting provisions
- Sanctions for frivolous litigation or discovery abuse
- Prevailing party statutes in specific case types

Strategic considerations:

- Make formal settlement offers to trigger cost-shifting
- Document opponent's unreasonable litigation conduct
- Preserve record for sanctions motions
- Consider cost recovery when budgeting and evaluating settlement

Common Questions About Litigation Cost Management

How much does litigation typically cost? Costs vary enormously by case type. Patent litigation averages over $4 million, medical malpractice cases involve median awards of $109,000-$195,000 (with high discovery costs), and commercial disputes can range from tens of thousands to millions depending on complexity and stakes.

When should we consider alternative fee arrangements? Alternative fee arrangements work best when case scope is clear, risks are bounded, and both sides can define success metrics. They're particularly valuable for repeatable work, phase-based litigation, or matters where aligning incentives creates value for both client and counsel. Our team has extensive experience working out alternative fees where they make sense, or working within predictable phased budgets to maintain cost predictability.

How can we improve cost predictability? We can use phased budgeting, possibly with contingencies or other alternative fee arrangements, establish clear billing guidelines, implement regular variance reviews, and maintain open communication between legal and business teams about changing circumstances.

The Bottom Line

Litigation cost management isn't about cutting corners or choosing cheap counsel—it's about aligning legal spending with business objectives while maintaining a strong case strategy. The most effective approach combines upfront planning, clear guidelines, technology-enabled oversight, and continuous communication.

We start by clearly mapping your case, building a realistic phased budget, and setting billing rules that everyone understands. We maintain transparent communication with all stakeholders to ensure that financial decisions support strategic objectives.

Firms and companies that excel at litigation cost management don't just save money—they make better strategic decisions, maintain stronger relationships with counsel, and achieve better outcomes by focusing resources on what actually drives case success.